



**QUESTIONS FOR 2009 HOUSTON MUNICIPAL CANDIDATES**

The Citizens' Transportation Coalition (CTC) is an all-volunteer grassroots transportation advocacy organization. Formed in 2004, we are interested in transportation planning issues and opportunities across the 8-county Houston region. CTC reaches more than 10,000 readers, many of whom live within the City of Houston. If elected, you will directly influence transportation policy in our city, and our readers are very interested in your views on several topics!

**We would appreciate your responses by midnight Friday, September 25, 2009.**

However, we will post all responses as they come in. Questions and answers will be posted on CTC's website at [www.ctchouston.org](http://www.ctchouston.org) and shared via our email list. If we do not hear back from you, we will list your answer as "No response."

You can get your answers to us in either of two ways:

<b>BEST: By EMAIL</b>	<b>OK: By Fax</b>
<p>Save this Word document to your computer, and be sure to include your name in the file name.</p> <p>Open the document in Word and type your answers in the boxes provided. (Boxes will expand as needed.)</p> <p>Save the file when you're finished.</p> <p>Email your completed questionnaire to <a href="mailto:candidates@ctchouston.org">candidates@ctchouston.org</a>.</p>	<p>Print this document.</p> <p>Write or type your answers to each question in the boxes provided.</p> <p>Fax your completed questionnaire to <b>(713) 529-1392</b>.</p> <p>Please call Ian at (713) 576-9574 to report that the fax has been sent. Thanks!</p>

Thank you in advance for your participation!

**Candidate name:**

**Khalid Khan**

Position sought:

**Houston City Council – District F**

**1. General**

The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is a federally-required planning document. While cities like Atlanta, Denver, and Portland have made "improving quality of life" a top priority in their regional plans, Houston's 2025 RTP named "reducing traffic congestion" as the top goal.

**Q1a:** What should be the City's top priority in regional transportation planning?

**Reducing traffic congestion**

**Q1b:** If elected, what would be your most important initiative(s) to address this priority?

**Improving our freeway systems...targeting congestion, big trucks and more lanes. Formulating an accessible metro network that connects all city districts and surrounding Houston area suburbs not just a few streets in Houston.**

## **2. More transportation alternatives**

One in five adult Texans cannot or does not drive. According to the 2009 Houston Area Survey, 62.4% of respondents said it is "very important" to the future success of Houston to develop a much-improved mass transit system.

**Q2a:** Do you support more transit for the Houston region?

**Yes**

**Q2b:** What should the City do to enhance the usefulness of the developing light rail system?

**Our City is unique compared to other big cities like Chicago, New York with large rail systems. In the summer months, walking to a stop and waiting in the heat for a light rail car to come along doesn't make much since if you already own a car. We need to focus on accessibility of the transportation (means) not just blanket justifications (ends).**

Nationally, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) requires that new rail projects demonstrate how station development will support ridership. METRO's ability to win federal rail funding may therefore hinge on City of Houston planning regulations, but those regulations require suburban-style auto-dependent development with 25-foot setbacks on most major roadways. The new urban corridor development ordinance allows for reduced setbacks along specified roadways, but building pedestrian-friendly development elsewhere requires navigating a cumbersome variance process.

**Q2c:** Should the City legalize urban, pedestrian-friendly development along all roadways?

**If it's feasible...if the City makes this an issue of 'right of imminent domain' and starts grabbing land from taxpaying Houstonians in the name of the 'greater good', then that is not a feasible solution and increases the burden of Government. If it's public land to begin with then we have a little more room to pursue such an avenue.**

Houstonians fund transit with a \$.01 sales tax. In 1992, Bob Lanier diverted 1/4 of our transit tax collections to the General Mobility program. This money is shifted to local jurisdictions within the METRO service area to fund road improvements across the region. In fiscal 2008, more than \$130 million of METRO's transit tax collections was allocated to the General Mobility fund, and \$94.7 million was claimed by the City of Houston. Since 1992, more than \$1.6 billion has been diverted from transit, funds which may have lost us more than \$1 billion in federal matching

dollars. An additional \$1 billion will be diverted from transit to General Mobility projects between now and September 2014, when the current agreement ends.

**Q2d:** Do you support ending the diversion of our transit tax dollars to the General Mobility program when the current agreement expires in 2014?

**We will have to look at all the facts and how the General Mobility Fund has used those tax dollars. We need to evaluate how they are used and if inefficient, I would have no problem using them for their supposed intended use.**

### ***3. Balancing interests within the transportation system***

Mobility is multi-modal. The City's public right-of-way includes roadways, utilities, sidewalks, and street trees, from property line to property line. This right-of-way must serve trucks, cars, buses, bicycles, pedestrians, wheelchairs, scooters, and transit users. Reconstruction of a single highway overpass or intersection can affect access for all of these uses.

**Q3a:** Should the City require every transportation infrastructure project within the City of Houston – regardless of the implementing agency – to preserve and/or enhance the full spectrum of mobility uses, including auto, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit access?

**Yes but the rights of property owners are paramount. If we start decreasing property values by taking land from the citizens of Houston, then we will hurt our tax base and city revenue needed to implement such projects.**

**Q3b:** Should the City fund the construction and maintenance of sidewalks?

**Yes – I view this as a basic city service.**

### ***4. Regional transportation planning***

Three million new people are projected to arrive in the Houston area over the next 30 years. Where they live and work, and how they get around, will have a tremendous impact on quality of life in Houston communities.

As the population increases, so does demand for goods and freight. How freight moves in and through our region will also affect quality of life. Further, Houston's economy is tied to the port and the efficient movement of goods through our region.

Truck traffic is a significant cause of roadway congestion, and freight rail is a more efficient, more environmentally-friendly alternative. However, Houston's freight rail system is at capacity and often disrupts neighborhoods because of its antiquated facilities.

**Q4a:** Do you support public investment in improving the freight rail system? What role should the city play in this?

**Yes – if it will immediately take cargo trucks off our freeways and unclog some of our congestion problems.**

Commercial airline travel uses at least six times more energy than intercity passenger rail for trips of fewer than 600 miles. Experience across the world has shown that passengers prefer high speed rail to airplanes on trips of 2-3 hours, which is possible between Houston, Dallas, Austin, and San Antonio. Recently the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) initiated a national High Speed Rail program which includes federal grant funds. Houston could potentially connect to New Orleans via the Gulf Coast Corridor and to Dallas/Ft. Worth, Austin, and San Antonio via the Texas T-Bone.

**Q4b:** Do you believe it's important to develop high-quality rail connections for passengers between Houston and other major Texas cities?

**Sure if it is a matter of practicality and the citizens of Texas are supportive of the idea and will utilize the infrastructure. I would not want to see something invested in and built that the people of Texas would not use.**

Achieving the highest efficiencies of rail transportation requires careful planning. Intercity rail service requires long, uninterrupted rights-of-way. Additionally, commuter rail requires seamless connections to job centers, which in turn requires connections to light rail and local bus as well as convenient station locations.

**Q4c:** Should the City of Houston participate in identifying and developing appropriate corridors and station sites that will connect regional and statewide transit to urban employment centers?

**Yes...but I would like to see a plan that connects back to residential areas also (and the surrounding suburbs) or in my view the system would not be worth pursuing.**

In the Houston region there are more than 1,200 at-grade intersections of rail lines and roadways. These at-grade crossings inflict both economic and social costs on Houston, including surface congestion, neighborhood disruption, delayed emergency response, and crashes. Grade separations resolve these issues. In addition, grade separations are essential to enable high-speed rail.

**Q4d:** Should the City of Houston dedicate significant ongoing funds to invest in grade separations?

Yes but again that depends on the money available to do it and if it includes any money from the Federal Government; We should pursue more federal dollars to use in conjunction with what we have to invest in grade separations.

The proposed Grand Parkway, a 180-mile loop toll road, would run through mostly uninhabited and undeveloped areas in nearby unincorporated Harris County. Its construction will spur development on the Katy Prairie which will result in the loss of valuable greenspace and wildlife habitat as well as increased flooding in existing Houston neighborhoods. Ironically, the Grand Parkway will also increase traffic congestion on other Houston area roadways because projected employment along the corridor is minimal and all new residents will thus have to commute long distances to their workplaces.

**Q4e:** Should the City of Houston take a position regarding whether to construct projects such as the Grand Parkway that stand to impact the City but that lie outside its jurisdiction?

**Yes I think we should definitely take a position...why not? but hypothetically I don't see what actions the City could legally take on property outside the city limits other than through lobbying, advocacy and possibly some minor litigation.**

Across the Houston region, there are more than 40 public agencies building transportation projects. This work is coordinated to some extent by the Transportation Policy Council (TPC), which has responsibility for allocating federal transportation dollars to local governments. While many of these projects affect City of Houston residents and the City appoints 3 members to the TPC, the City has not historically played a strong role or used its voice to influence regional transportation planning.

**Q4f:** Should the City play a more active role in regional transportation planning? How?

**Why not...we are the largest city in Texas and in the top 5 nationally. We should have some say in regional transportation matters. However in response to "how" the only action I could see for them to take (based on your definition) would be to better influence how and what these federal dollars are to be spent on.**

### **5. City of Houston organization and process**

As Houston has grown, private development has generally followed public investment in infrastructure. The City's decisions regarding where to improve public infrastructure result in de-facto planning for our future growth. The City in turn depends on property taxes from private development for revenue and thereby stands to benefit from strong growth.

**Q5a:** Should the City strategically plan infrastructure investments to influence the city's growth?

**YES, YES, YES! And District F should be the place to start!**

Our city must live with the infrastructure choices we make today for generations to come. Some options create or relieve social impacts that are difficult to quantify. However, the best long-term solution often costs more in dollars to confer neighborhood benefits. For example, consider the trade-offs between depressed and elevated structures. An overpass limits access to property and subjects the neighborhood to noise and visual impacts. An underpass addresses these issues, but at a monetary cost. In some situations, the benefits of the higher-cost solution will warrant the additional expense.

**Q5b:** Under what circumstances should the City not choose the least-expensive solution?

**When it will affect the future economical development of a region or area. And if the money is there to spend (ie without deficit spending). Other than that, cases that are few and far between...in a city of our size, we have far too many social and economic related monetary issues to be worried about.**

## 6. Accommodating growth

According to the US Census, the City of Houston is one of the fastest growing cities in the United States. The Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) forecasts that the City of Houston will add 23,000 people and 9,000 housing units per year for the next ten years. The format of this growth will be governed by Chapter 42 of Houston's Code of Ordinances, which was originally written in 1982 to govern greenfield development. However, much of the forthcoming population growth is expected to happen in denser, infill developments, and these will also be guided by Chapter 42.

Increasing density can make a city more livable and sustainable if essential infrastructure is in place. This infrastructure includes improved streets and drainage, guest parking, transit access, parks and green spaces, upgraded electric and utility distribution, and space for staging solid waste collection. Imposing unplanned, piecemeal residential density without first having these infrastructure requirements in place burdens residents with unacceptable neighborhood environments. In its current form, Chapter 42 fails to anticipate or address the cumulative impacts of increasing density, and this summer's amendments to Chapter 42 not only fail to resolve this issue; they expand the impact to all areas within Beltway 8.

**Q6a:** What policies should the City implement to better anticipate and address the impacts of inevitably increasing density?

**I don't know...what makes this city special and unique is our lack of zoning laws and the 'open' aspect of city planning. Denser developments tend to have more area crime. We also need create more property owners to increase existing tax revenue. I would hate to see this city build up, encouraging the development of more 'renters' and less 'owners'. Mandating denser developments could possibly turn Houston into a place that more resembles New York City than the city we have all grown to love and appreciate.**

We pay for roads, storm sewers, wastewater lines, and utilities by the mile. The lower the density of development, the farther people must travel to reach jobs, stores, and entertainment, and the more we pay to build and maintain infrastructure.

It would be fiscally-responsible for the city to incentivize denser development where the infrastructure is already sufficient. For example, costs of development in Midtown, which has a lot of street capacity, good utilities, and excellent transit access, should be less expensive than elsewhere.

**Q6b:** Should the City use performance measures or other means to steer dense development to areas where appropriate infrastructure exists or is planned?

**Sure, that is a solid argument and would potentially save city dollars for use on other projects – but as long as it is to 'steer' development and not 'mandate'. However, from an economically standpoint, I would reasonably think private entities would pick up on this and be drawn to the notion already without the city's help.**

Parking is a contentious issue in many neighborhoods, and improper management of parking infrastructure can degrade the quality of development. Parking requirements that are not case-specific can stifle growth by raising the cost of development and can deaden what would

otherwise be vibrant urban places by replacing activity centers with parking lots. However, for all areas outside downtown, the City of Houston has one-size-fits-all parking requirements that consider neither the specific characteristics of a given neighborhood nor the vision held by residents for their neighborhood.

**Q6c:** Should the City adopt new context-sensitive parking requirements that consider factors such as access to transit and presence of shared parking?

**NO – the city should not be in the business of decreasing choice and mandating anything. But I am for local control, so I think it should be left to the neighborhood councils to work with the city and decide for themselves.**

Shared parking facilities can enable disparate developments to consolidate parking, thereby transforming land that would have otherwise been used for bland parking facilities into vibrant activity centers. Furthermore, properly managed shared parking facilities could be tapped as a revenue source for the City.

**Q6d:** Should the City participate in providing shared parking outside of the central business district?

**Absolutely Yes – shared parking is proven effective and is also more efficient. But we should ‘encourage’ private entities rather than ‘require’ which would increase the size and scope of Government.**

That’s it! You’re done! Thank you again for your participation, and good luck on November 3<sup>rd</sup>!

CITC  
2009