



QUESTIONS FOR 2009 HOUSTON MUNICIPAL CANDIDATES

The Citizens' Transportation Coalition (CTC) is an all-volunteer grassroots transportation advocacy organization. Formed in 2004, we are interested in transportation planning issues and opportunities across the 8-county Houston region. CTC reaches more than 10,000 readers, many of whom live within the City of Houston. If elected, you will directly influence transportation policy in our city, and our readers are very interested in your views on several topics!

We would appreciate your responses by midnight Friday, September 25, 2009.

However, we will post all responses as they come in. Questions and answers will be posted on CTC's website at www.ctchouston.org and shared via our email list. If we do not hear back from you, we will list your answer as "No response."

You can get your answers to us in either of two ways:

BEST: By EMAIL	OK: By Fax
<p>Save this Word document to your computer, and be sure to include your name in the file name.</p> <p>Open the document in Word and type your answers in the boxes provided. (Boxes will expand as needed.)</p> <p>Save the file when you're finished.</p> <p>Email your completed questionnaire to candidates@ctchouston.org.</p>	<p>Print this document.</p> <p>Write or type your answers to each question in the boxes provided.</p> <p>Fax your completed questionnaire to (713) 529-1392.</p> <p>Please call Ian at (713) 576-9574 to report that the fax has been sent. Thanks!</p>

Thank you in advance for your participation!

Candidate name:

DEXTER R. HANDY

Position sought:

HOUSTON CITY COUNCIL DISTRICT G

1. General

The Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is a federally-required planning document. While cities like Atlanta, Denver, and Portland have made "improving quality of life" a top priority in their regional plans, Houston's 2025 RTP named "reducing traffic congestion" as the top goal.

Q1a: What should be the City's top priority in regional transportation planning?

Our City's top priority in regional transportation planning must be to implement a multi-dimensional and sustainable transportation network which both improves quality of life and eliminates traffic congestion. This multidimensional network must provide Houstonians with

viable transport options from their doorstep to the work place, to the grocery store, to the shopping centers, to the air/rail/bus transport hubs, and back home...with bags, books and groceries!

Q1b: If elected, what would be your most important initiative(s) to address this priority?

If elected, I will start with an initiative to make downtown Houston more pedestrian and bicycle friendly, with more parking spaces, bicycle taxi lanes, and a complete pedestrian tunnel/bridge program.

2. More transportation alternatives

One in five adult Texans cannot or does not drive. According to the 2009 Houston Area Survey, 62.4% of respondents said it is "very important" to the future success of Houston to develop a much-improved mass transit system.

Q2a: Do you support more transit for the Houston region?

I support more mass transit options for the Houston region, and It should include an integrated combination of bus, rail, and pedestrian transit options.

Q2b: What should the City do to enhance the usefulness of the developing light rail system?

First, we need to get rail capacity out to the major business centers, including Downtown, Galleria, Katy, Hobby and Bush Airports, the Woodlands, Katy, Sugarland, and NASA. Second, we need to insure that passengers can also manage luggage and groceries (and if possible, bikes) on these systems. Third, we need to ensure low cost to the users.

Nationally, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) requires that new rail projects demonstrate how station development will support ridership. METRO's ability to win federal rail funding may therefore hinge on City of Houston planning regulations, but those regulations require suburban-style auto-dependent development with 25-foot setbacks on most major roadways. The new urban corridor development ordinance allows for reduced setbacks along specified roadways, but building pedestrian-friendly development elsewhere requires navigating a cumbersome variance process.

Q2c: Should the City legalize urban, pedestrian-friendly development along all roadways?

Yes! If we can get more bikeways and walkways to/from the stores and work places, we will reduce the congestion on the roads, and possibly reduce harmful emissions.

Houstonians fund transit with a \$.01 sales tax. In 1992, Bob Lanier diverted ¼ of our transit tax collections to the General Mobility program. This money is shifted to local jurisdictions within the METRO service area to fund road improvements across the region. In fiscal 2008, more than \$130 million of METRO's transit tax collections was allocated to the General Mobility fund, and \$94.7 million was claimed by the City of Houston. Since 1992, more than \$1.6 billion has been diverted from transit, funds which may have lost us more than \$1 billion in federal matching

dollars. An additional \$1 billion will be diverted from transit to General Mobility projects between now and September 2014, when the current agreement ends.

Q2d: Do you support ending the diversion of our transit tax dollars to the General Mobility program when the current agreement expires in 2014?

Yes, I support ending the diversion of our transit tax dollars to the General Mobility program when the current agreement expires in 2014. I favor integrated mass transit approaches which will necessitate federal matching dollars.

3. *Balancing interests within the transportation system*

Mobility is multi-modal. The City's public right-of-way includes roadways, utilities, sidewalks, and street trees, from property line to property line. This right-of-way must serve trucks, cars, buses, bicycles, pedestrians, wheelchairs, scooters, and transit users. Reconstruction of a single highway overpass or intersection can affect access for all of these uses.

Q3a: Should the City require every transportation infrastructure project within the City of Houston – regardless of the implementing agency – to preserve and/or enhance the full spectrum of mobility uses, including auto, pedestrian, bicycle, and transit access?

I do favor enhancement of our transportation options to accommodate the full spectrum of mobility access. However, certain options, like underground pedestrian tunnels or overhead pedestrian bridges will not accommodate automobiles. I do not favor constraints to the letter. I believe a little flexibility is necessary in some areas.

Q3b: Should the City fund the construction and maintenance of sidewalks?

Yes, and the sidewalks should be family friendly. I just witnessed the construction of a new sidewalk on Memorial drive, with a very large light post in the dead center, which will make it difficult for runners, bicyclists, and families with strollers to stay on that sidewalk, and out of the street. That is not a smart way to do things, as the city would never think of placing a pole in the center of an automobile lane.

4. *Regional transportation planning*

Three million new people are projected to arrive in the Houston area over the next 30 years. Where they live and work, and how they get around, will have a tremendous impact on quality of life in Houston communities.

As the population increases, so does demand for goods and freight. How freight moves in and through our region will also affect quality of life. Further, Houston's economy is tied to the port and the efficient movement of goods through our region.

Truck traffic is a significant cause of roadway congestion, and freight rail is a more efficient, more environmentally-friendly alternative. However, Houston's freight rail system is at capacity and often disrupts neighborhoods because of its antiquated facilities.

Q4a: Do you support public investment in improving the freight rail system? What role should the city play in this?

I support public investment in improving the freight rail system. The city should assist TxDot, the Railroad Companies and the Federal government in improving safety, security, and transportability.

Commercial airline travel uses at least six times more energy than intercity passenger rail for trips of fewer than 600 miles. Experience across the world has shown that passengers prefer high speed rail to airplanes on trips of 2-3 hours, which is possible between Houston, Dallas, Austin, and San Antonio. Recently the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) initiated a national High Speed Rail program which includes federal grant funds. Houston could potentially connect to New Orleans via the Gulf Coast Corridor and to Dallas/Ft. Worth, Austin, and San Antonio via the Texas T-Bone.

Q4b: Do you believe it's important to develop high-quality rail connections for passengers between Houston and other major Texas cities?

I do believe we need to develop high-quality rail connections between Houston, Galveston, San Antonio, Dallas, and Austin.

Achieving the highest efficiencies of rail transportation requires careful planning. Intercity rail service requires long, uninterrupted rights-of-way. Additionally, commuter rail requires seamless connections to job centers, which in turn requires connections to light rail and local bus as well as convenient station locations.

Q4c: Should the City of Houston participate in identifying and developing appropriate corridors and station sites that will connect regional and statewide transit to urban employment centers?

Houston needs to be a major player in identifying and developing the corridors and station sites. This needs to be done with involvement and representation from the affected counties, property owners, and businesses as well. We cannot allow a repeat of the Trans Texas Corridor.

In the Houston region there are more than 1,200 at-grade intersections of rail lines and roadways. These at-grade crossings inflict both economic and social costs on Houston, including surface congestion, neighborhood disruption, delayed emergency response, and crashes. Grade separations resolve these issues. In addition, grade separations are essential to enable high-speed rail.

Q4d: Should the City of Houston dedicate significant ongoing funds to invest in grade separations?

Yes the City of Houston should dedicate funds for grade separations. The intersections which adversely affect safety, security, and public health should get the highest priorities.

The proposed Grand Parkway, a 180-mile loop toll road, would run through mostly uninhabited and undeveloped areas in nearby unincorporated Harris County. Its construction will spur development on the Katy Prairie which will result in the loss of valuable greenspace and wildlife habitat as well as increased flooding in existing Houston neighborhoods. Ironically, the Grand Parkway will also increase traffic congestion on other Houston area roadways because projected

employment along the corridor is minimal and all new residents will thus have to commute long distances to their workplaces.

Q4e: Should the City of Houston take a position regarding whether to construct projects such as the Grand Parkway that stand to impact the City but that lie outside its jurisdiction?

The Grand Parkway should not be a project done in isolation, and this appears to be the present situation. Any transportation solutions must be part of an integrated multidimensional approach. The Grand Parkway is another toll road project, and I am against more toll roads. We need to integrate all transportation options into any future development areas, including bus, light/commuter rail, and toll-free automobile options.

Across the Houston region, there are more than 40 public agencies building transportation projects. This work is coordinated to some extent by the Transportation Policy Council (TPC), which has responsibility for allocating federal transportation dollars to local governments. While many of these projects affect City of Houston residents and the City appoints 3 members to the TPC, the City has not historically played a strong role or used its voice to influence regional transportation planning.

Q4f: Should the City play a more active role in regional transportation planning? How?

Yes! Representation should be increased to include members from all affected areas, including each City Council District. In addition, all forms of transportation (bus, taxi, rail, bicycle, and pedestrian) should be part of this transportation planning process. This is too big a process for a committee of three city representatives.

5. City of Houston organization and process

As Houston has grown, private development has generally followed public investment in infrastructure. The City's decisions regarding where to improve public infrastructure result in de-facto planning for our future growth. The City in turn depends on property taxes from private development for revenue and thereby stands to benefit from strong growth.

Q5a: Should the City strategically plan infrastructure investments to influence the city's growth?

Yes, the City should initiate strategic planning for infrastructure investments. This should be done not only for transportation purposes, but also for drainage, energy, and emergency management issues as well.

Our city must live with the infrastructure choices we make today for generations to come. Some options create or relieve social impacts that are difficult to quantify. However, the best long-term solution often costs more in dollars to confer neighborhood benefits. For example, consider the trade-offs between depressed and elevated structures. An overpass limits access to property and subjects the neighborhood to noise and visual impacts. An underpass addresses these issues, but at a monetary cost. In some situations, the benefits of the higher-cost solution will warrant the additional expense.

Q5b: Under what circumstances should the City not choose the least-expensive solution?

The city should not choose the least-expensive solution when that solution adversely affects safety, security, and public health. In addition, if the least-expensive solution affects businesses and property owners, the residents there should have a “super majority” voice in the solutions.

6. Accommodating growth

According to the US Census, the City of Houston is one of the fastest growing cities in the United States. The Houston-Galveston Area Council (H-GAC) forecasts that the City of Houston will add 23,000 people and 9,000 housing units per year for the next ten years. The format of this growth will be governed by Chapter 42 of Houston’s Code of Ordinances, which was originally written in 1982 to govern greenfield development. However, much of the forthcoming population growth is expected to happen in denser, infill developments, and these will also be guided by Chapter 42.

Increasing density can make a city more livable and sustainable if essential infrastructure is in place. This infrastructure includes improved streets and drainage, guest parking, transit access, parks and green spaces, upgraded electric and utility distribution, and space for staging solid waste collection. Imposing unplanned, piecemeal residential density without first having these infrastructure requirements in place burdens residents with unacceptable neighborhood environments. In its current form, Chapter 42 fails to anticipate or address the cumulative impacts of increasing density, and this summer’s amendments to Chapter 42 not only fail to resolve this issue; they expand the impact to all areas within Beltway 8.

Q6a: What policies should the City implement to better anticipate and address the impacts of inevitably increasing density?

The city should mandate pedestrian pathways and bicycle lanes for all new developments, and should provide incentives to get these pathways from residences to the shopping centers. The city should pass measures prohibiting HOAs from restricting solar panels and wind energy devices on properties. The city should mandate and encourage recycling centers in all new developments.

We pay for roads, storm sewers, wastewater lines, and utilities by the mile. The lower the density of development, the farther people must travel to reach jobs, stores, and entertainment, and the more we pay to build and maintain infrastructure.

It would be fiscally-responsible for the city to incentivize denser development where the infrastructure is already sufficient. For example, costs of development in Midtown, which has a lot of street capacity, good utilities, and excellent transit access, should be less expensive than elsewhere.

Q6b: Should the City use performance measures or other means to steer dense development to areas where appropriate infrastructure exists or is planned?

The City needs to continue to emphasize the TIRZ program to encourage business development in the older infrastructures. The older infrastructures need to have supermarkets, cleaners, movie theaters, gas stations, convenience stores, and restaurants in

place, so residents can get to necessary conveniences within the community where they reside. The City also needs to expand business opportunities and competition for business among Women and Minority-Owned Businesses in these areas.

Parking is a contentious issue in many neighborhoods, and improper management of parking infrastructure can degrade the quality of development. Parking requirements that are not case-specific can stifle growth by raising the cost of development and can deaden what would otherwise be vibrant urban places by replacing activity centers with parking lots. However, for all areas outside downtown, the City of Houston has one-size-fits-all parking requirements that consider neither the specific characteristics of a given neighborhood nor the vision held by residents for their neighborhood.

Q6c: Should the City adopt new context-sensitive parking requirements that consider factors such as access to transit and presence of shared parking?

Yes. In addition the city should put in multi-level parking areas in these locations, and provide a business infrastructure that will support bicycle taxis as a means to transport people from their cars to their downtown locations.

Shared parking facilities can enable disparate developments to consolidate parking, thereby transforming land that would have otherwise been used for bland parking facilities into vibrant activity centers. Furthermore, properly managed shared parking facilities could be tapped as a revenue source for the City.

Q6d: Should the City participate in providing shared parking outside of the central business district?

Yes.

That's it! You're done! Thank you again for your participation, and good luck on November 3rd!

2009